



DAC/I
\$

PATENT
Attorney Docket 044481-5017-06

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Vanitha Ramakrishnan <i>et al.</i>)	Group Art Unit: 1644
)	
Application No. 09/003,810)	Examiner: Phillip Gambel
)	
Filed: January 7, 1998)	Allowed: September 21, 2005
)	
For: Inhibitory Immunoglobulin Polypeptides to)	Confirmation No. 9495
Human PDGF Beta Receptor)	


U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Issue Fee
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

TRANSMITTAL FORM

1. Transmitted herewith is the Issue Fee Transmittal (PTOL-85B).
2. Additional Papers Submitted:
 - (i) Comments on Statement of Reason for Allowance
 - (ii) Submission of Replacement Drawings
 - (iii) 11 sheets of replacement drawings (Figure 1 to Figure 12)
 - (iv) Copy of Form PTO 948
 - (v) Petition for Patent Term Adjustment Under 37 C.F.R. 1.705(b)
 - (vi) Statement of Facts Under 37 C.F.R. 1.705(b)(2)
 - (vii) Copy of Patent Term Adjustment History
3. Fee Payment: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge **\$1,909.00** to Deposit Account No. 50-0310 for payment of the Issue Fee (\$1,400.00), the publication fee (\$300.00), three additional soft copies of patent (\$9.00), and the application for patent term adjustment fee (\$200.00).
4. Constructive Petition: **Except** for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **constructive petition for extension of time** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a)(3).

Dated: **December 9, 2005**
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
Customer No. **09629**
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
202-739-3000

Respectfully submitted,
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP


Robert Smyth
Registration No. 50,801



PATENT
Attorney Docket 044481-5017-06

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Vanitha Ramakrishnan <i>et al.</i>)	Group Art Unit: 1644
)	
Application No. 09/003,810)	Examiner: Phillip Gambel
)	
Filed: January 7, 1998)	Allowed: September 21, 2005
)	
For: Inhibitory Immunoglobulin Polypeptides to)	Confirmation No. 9495
Human PDGF Beta Receptor)	


COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

In response to the Statement of Reasons for the Indication of Allowable Subject matter in the Notice of Allowability dated September 21, 2005 the following comments are submitted under 37 C.F.R. 1.104(e).

While Applicants believe that the claims are allowable and patentably distinguishable over the prior art, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in each feature, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each and every feature is required for patentability. Applicants submit that patentability is based on the claimed invention as a whole, and not solely on one or more particular features recited in the allowed claims.

Dated: December 9, 2005
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
Customer No. 09629
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
202-739-3000

Respectfully submitted
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP


Robert Smyth
Registration No. 50,801



PATENT
Attorney Docket 044481-5017-06

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Vanitha Ramakrishnan <i>et al.</i>)	Group Art Unit: 1644
)	
Application No. 09/003,810)	Examiner: Phillip Gambel
)	
Filed: January 7, 1998)	Allowed: September 21, 2005
)	
For: Inhibitory Immunoglobulin Polypeptides to Human PDGF Beta Receptor)	Confirmation No. 9495
)	


SUBMISSION OF REPLACEMENT DRAWINGS

Submitted herewith are eleven (11) sheets of replacement drawings containing Figures 1 to 12. It is respectfully requested that these drawings be approved and replace the as-filed informal drawings in the above-identified application.

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of these drawings, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-310. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.


Dated: **December 9, 2005**
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
Customer No. **09629**
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20004
202-739-3000

Respectfully submitted,
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP



Robert Smyth
Registration No. 50,801

9/003,810

NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S
PATENT DRAWING REVIEWThe drawing(s) filed (insert date) 1-7-98 are:

- A. ☐ approved by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.
 B. ☒ objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 for the reasons indicated below. The Examiner has indicated the submission of new, corrected drawings when necessary. Corrected drawing must be submitted according to the instructions on the back of this notice.

1. DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings:
 Black ink. Color.
 Color drawings are not acceptable until petition is granted.
 Fig(s) _____
 Pencil and non black ink not permitted. Fig(s) _____
2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84(b)
 1 full-tone set is required. Fig(s) _____
 Photographs may not be mounted. 37 CFR 1.84(e)
 Poor quality (half-tone). Fig(s) 4, 5, 7
3. TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e)
 Paper not flexible, strong, white, and durable.
 Fig(s) _____
 Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations, folds, copy machine marks not accepted. Fig(s) 30-7
 Mylar, velum paper is not acceptable (too thin).
 Fig(s) _____
4. SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(f): Acceptable sizes:
 21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4)
 21.6 cm by 27.9 cm (8 1/2 x 11 inches)
 All drawing sheets not the same size.
 Sheet(s) _____
 Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fig(s) _____
5. MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins:
 Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm
 SIZE: A4 Size
 Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm
 SIZE: 8 1/2 x 11
 Margins not acceptable. Fig(s) 2A, 20, 0
 Top (T) _____ Left (L) _____
 Right (R) _____ Bottom (B) _____
6. VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)
 REMINDER: Specification may require revision to correspond to drawing changes.
 Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2)
 Brackets needed to show figure as one entity.
 Fig(s) _____
 Views not labeled separately or properly.
 Fig(s) _____
 Enlarged view not labeled separately or properly.
 Fig(s) _____
7. SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84 (h)(3)
 Hatching not indicated for sectional portions of an object.
 Fig(s) _____
 Sectional designation should be noted with Arabic or Roman numbers. Fig(s) _____
8. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)
 Words do not appear on a horizontal, left-to-right fashion when page is either upright or turned so that the top becomes the right side, except for graphs. Fig(s) _____
9. SCALE. 37 CFR 1.84(k)
 Scale not large enough to show mechanism without crowding when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in reproduction.
 Fig(s) _____
10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)
 Lines, numbers & letters not uniformly thick and well defined, clean, durable, and black (poor line quality).
 Fig(s) 3A, 6, 8, 9, 12
11. SHADING. 37 CFR 1.84(m)
 Solid black areas pale. Fig(s) _____
 Solid black shading not permitted. Fig(s) _____
 Shade lines, pale, rough and blurred. Fig(s) _____
12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS. 37 CFR 1.84(p)
 Numbers and reference characters not plain and legible.
 Fig(s) 1, 3A, 35, 6, 8-12
 Figure legends are poor. Fig(s) _____
 Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the same direction as the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1)
 Fig(s) _____
 English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2)
 Fig(s) _____
 Numbers, letters and reference characters must be at least .32 cm (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3)
 Fig(s) _____
13. LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)
 Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s) _____
 Lead lines missing. Fig(s) _____
14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(t)
 Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals beginning with number 1. Sheet(s) _____
15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u)
 Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals, beginning with number 1. Fig(s) _____
16. CORRECTIONS. 37 CFR 1.84(w)
 Corrections not made from prior PTO-948 dated _____
17. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.152
 Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s) _____
 Solid black shading not used for color contrast.
 Fig(s) _____

COMMENTS

REVIEWER

J. CHASE

DATE

4-22-02

TELEPHONE NO.

703 305 8430

9/12/2005